Case 1:23-cv-01502-CM Document 28 Filed 01/31/24 Page 1 of 2

Case 1:23-cv-01502-CM Document 27 Filed 01/29/24 Page 1 of 2

JULIE CONNOLLY LAW

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT TE FILED:

P.O. Box 665 Concord, NH 03302-0665 603.496.5927 julie@jkc-law.com

January 28, 2024

Via ECF

Honorable Judge Colleen McMahon United States District Court Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312

He CAN HE CANTALLE OF THE CANTALLE OF NEW YORK, CM Re: Lamar Brown v. New Hampshire Bowl & Board, LLC U.S. District Court/Southern District of New York, Civil Docket No. 1:23-cv-01502-CM

Dear Judge McMahon,

On behalf of Defendant New Hampshire Bowl & Board, LLC ("NHBB"), we are writing to object to Plaintiff Lamar Brown's ("Brown") request for an extension of time to file an amended complaint in this action. See Docket no. 26. Brown's request for a ten-day extension of time – not the one-week extension stated in his request – will not cure his failure to timely serve the complaint, or to request a single extension of time to effect service of the complaint. which was filed on February 23, 2023, and not served on NHBB until November 7, 2023. See Docket no. 20. NHBB's motion to dismiss filed on January 3 triggered two deadlines: one on January 17 to file an objection and another on January 24 to file an amended complaint. Brown missed both deadlines. Although Brown represents that this is the first time he has requested an extension of time, in fact he filed four requests for adjournment of the initial pretrial conference in this matter, because he had not yet served the complaint. See Docket nos. 6. 10, 12 and 15. Brown should not be excused from failing to file an amended complaint within the twenty-one days allowed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B), which would be tantamount to rewarding him for repeatedly disregarding the time requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Denying the request for an extension of time to file an amended complaint and dismissing the complaint will not prejudice Brown. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (requiring dismissal without prejudice for failure to timely serve the complaint). Brown is free to commence a new action and bring whatever claims he seeks to assert by way of amendment in this action in that new action. NHBB maintains that its website is accessible for visually impaired consumers in accordance with the A.D.A., et al., and that Brown's allegations, therefore, are meritless.

Thank you for the Court's consideration.

Case 1:23-cv-01502-CM Document 28 Filed 01/31/24 Page 2 of 2

Case 1:23-cv-01502-CM Document 27 Filed 01/29/24 Page 2 of 2

 Hon Judge Colleen McMahon ECF Letter Objection January 28, 2024 Page 2 of 2

Respectfully submitted,

Julie K. Connolly

Julie K. Connolly, PHV#NH10984 Stephen D. Rosemarino, SDNY # SR6653

cc: Client

All counsel of record, via ECF